

Addendum #2, Issued March 10, 2026

Plan holders are hereby notified of the following changes and/or clarifications to the original Request for Proposals.

| Date | Question | Answer |
|----------|--|---|
| 3/3/2026 | Regarding insurance requirements. I noticed Sexual Abuse or Molestation Liability an Cyber/Network Securing & Privacy Liability Insurance is required. This is something that arrested my attention as it is the first time I've seen it specifically mentioned in an RFP. I do think it's necessary. Is it something new? | Yes. |
| 3/4/2026 | I've reviewed Exhibit G and the Section 3 scoring criteria. For a sole proprietor proposing to subcontract 25% or more of total subcontract value to qualifying businesses, does that satisfy Category IV? And what's the fastest path to getting certified before the March 23rd deadline. | Please refer to future addendum postings for the answer to this question. |

| | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| <p>3/5/2026</p> | <p>We would like clarifications on the following things:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anticipated program start date following contract award • Expected number of youth to be served per housing site • Level of presence expected at each housing community • Availability of program space at housing properties (basketball courts, meeting rooms) • Expectations around reporting and evaluation metrics • Whether youth stipends, food and transportation costs are allowable expenses | <p>KCHA anticipates contract awards in May 2026. Awarded providers should expect to begin a readiness and coordination phase immediately following award, with initial direct services beginning in summer 2026 and phased implementation continuing into the fall. The RFP does not establish minimum or required numbers of youth to be served per site. Youth counts provided by city and site are shared for planning context only to support right-sizing of staffing, scope, and budget. Applicants should propose service levels aligned with an intervention-focused, relationship-based approach. The RFP does not prescribe a fixed onsite schedule. Applicants should propose a level of onsite presence appropriate to their program model, population served, and outcomes sought. Birch Creek has a full gymnasium and an outdoor sport court. Other sites included in this RFP have a mix of outdoor basketball or sport courts, playgrounds, and open fields.</p> <p>Availability of indoor community rooms or meeting spaces varies and may be shared. KCHA will support initial coordination and introductions following award. Awarded providers will be required to participate in ongoing reporting, evaluation, and continuous improvement. Reporting is expected to include: Participation and engagement (e.g., youth engaged, consistency) Quality of implementation (e.g., fidelity, dosage, referrals) Outcomes and impact (e.g., safety, social-emotional skills, connection to caring adults)</p> <p>Emphasis is on meaningful outcomes, not participation counts alone. Youth stipends, food, and transportation costs may be allowable if they are: Directly tied to program participation or engagement Reasonable and proportionate. Clearly justified in the budget narrative Compliant with KCHA and HUD requirements. Applicants should clearly explain how such costs support access, engagement, and program outcomes.</p> |
|-----------------|--|--|

| | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| <p>3/6/2026</p> | <p>We noticed in the budget attachment that it states, “The duration of the contract shall be 12 months. KCHA reserves the right to extend the contract.” We were hoping to learn a bit more about what this means in practice. Does King County anticipate that these funds may be allocated in future years to continue the programming, or is this intended to be a 12-month project with a defined end date? We would appreciate any additional context you can share. Additionally, we were wondering if you might be able to share more information about King County Housing Authority communities in Kent. If possible, it would be helpful to understand more about the languages, cultures, religions, or communities represented among the families living there so we can better plan how to engage youth quickly and in culturally responsive ways.</p> <p>We also noticed that the population of youth listed for Haven Apartment Homes is currently blank. Is there an estimated number of youth living there? For example, would it be closer to 47, like Birch Creek, or closer to 14, like Cascade? This information would be very helpful for us as we determine the level of staff time needed and finalize our proposed budget.</p> | <p>The initial contract term for this solicitation is 12 months. As stated in the RFP, King County Housing Authority (KCHA) reserves the right to extend the contract, but future funding beyond the initial 12-month service period cannot be guaranteed. That said, KCHA does anticipate that investments may continue beyond the first year, contingent on factors such as performance, available funding, and alignment with ongoing priorities. Proposers should plan and budget for a defined 12 -month project period, while recognizing that extensions are most likely possible but should not be assumed. At this time, KCHA is not able to provide additional demographic information (such as languages spoken, cultures, religions, or other population characteristics) beyond what has already been shared in the RFP materials and information-session presentation. KCHA can confirm that there is currently no finalized youth population data available for Haven Apartment Homes. We anticipate having more refined data by the time programming begins and will continue to improve data quality as work proceeds at that site. Haven homes is a 246 unit community, Birch creek in comparison has 262 units.</p> |
|-----------------|--|--|

| | | |
|----------|---|---|
| 3/6/2026 | <p>1. Regarding Exhibit F: We do not participate in any of these activities, so do we still need to complete these forms? Does signing the forms implicate us in anyway?</p> <p>2. Would answering N/A be a sufficient response for HUD forms? And just omit the Standard Form LL Regarding Exhibit H, Form HUD 5369-A, Form HUD 50071, Standard Form LLL</p> <p>3. The listed agencies providing services to these communities do not specify what services are being offered to KCHA. Is there additional information available?</p> <p>4. Where is the Q&A document located?</p> | <p>See future addendum posting.</p> <p>Completing and signing these forms does not implicate your organization or indicate participation in those activities; rather, they function as standard federal compliance certifications and disclosures required for HUD-funded contracts. If a specific form or section does not apply to your organization, it is acceptable to indicate “N/A” where appropriate. However, required forms should still be included in the submission, even if marked N/A. Refer to Exhibit B for program types and providers currently offered on site. All official questions and answers for this solicitation are:</p> <p>Posted to the RFP webpage, and Emailed to all parties who downloaded the RFP</p> |
| 3/6/2026 | <p>Regarding the Ballinger Homes property in Shoreline, in the presentation it noted the 110 units. Will this property include serving any youth living in the adjacent KCHA owned property of Peppertree?</p> | <p>For this solicitation, Ballinger Homes is the designated Shoreline community and the primary focus population. Youth counts, engagement targets, and budgeting assumptions for Shoreline should be based on youth residing at Ballinger Homes.</p> <p>Providers may recruit and engage youth living at the adjacent Peppertree property, particularly where doing so supports effective outreach, relationship-building, or program participation. However, proposals should clearly center services, staffing levels, and outcomes on Ballinger Homes youth as the priority population for this RFP</p> |
| 3/6/2026 | <p>I have a question clarifying the service period under the contract. The 12-month service period begins with the initial delivery of services in July 2026, not with the execution of the contract in May, correct?</p> | <p>While KCHA anticipates that service delivery will begin shortly thereafter (e.g., in June or July 2026), the contract term and 12-month service period are measured from the date the contract is signed, not from the start of service delivery.</p> |

| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 3/6/2026 | <p>The RFP states that "Proposed programs should complement and enhance existing offerings, so Respondents should consider current onsite programs and services when preparing their proposals." I referred to Exhibit B: Current Onsite Service Providers, Location, and Scope of Services (page 22 of RFP) to learn more. The table in the Exhibit lists the current providers, but does not have any information about the programs they run. Above the table there are two Programs listed: Out of School Time Programming and KCHA Resident Services. Are those the two programs that all of the listed providers are running? We want to comply with the RFP and consider currently offered programming when we propose programming, but the details given seem vague.</p> | <p>Exhibit B is intended to identify which organizations currently have a presence at each property, not to provide a detailed description of all programming being delivered at those sites.</p> <p>The headings listed above the table (e.g., Out-of-School Time Programming and KCHA Resident Services) reflect broad program categories, not a comprehensive or standardized set of services that every listed provider is running. Providers differ by site, scope, intensity, and focus, and programming may change over time.</p> <p>Because of this, Exhibit B should be used as contextual information rather than a complete inventory of existing programs. Demonstrate awareness that other youth and resident-focused services may already be operating onsite, and describe how their proposed programming would coordinate with, complement, or enhance the existing site ecosystem rather than duplicate it.</p> <p>KCHA does not expect proposers to design programming around a fully specified menu of existing services. Selected providers will engage in startup coordination with KCHA staff and onsite partners to clarify roles, avoid duplication, and align services once contracts are awarded.</p> |
| 3/6/2026 | <p>Our organization currently carries insurance coverage at levels that differ from those required in the RFP. Increasing our coverage to meet the specified requirements would result in significantly higher premiums that may not be financially feasible. Is there flexibility in the insurance coverage levels required?</p> | <p>There is no flexibility since these are required insurance coverages that align with the RFP's scope of work.</p> |

All other provisions of the Request for Proposals shall remain unchanged.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2